25 "Genes" no evolutionist Can explain.

Even evolutionary scientists are prone to agree to the nickname the following traits and instincts are called the "God genes." We know they're there, but we can't find them in the human genome.

The non-genes that make us human

Not all carry the same weight.

The *sine qua non* of evolution is DNA. All development and all traits are carried in the chromosomes. Ask a friend who is stuck on needing a Savior because he thinks he is just the highest form of animal life what is the difference between man and animals. Is there any difference?

Ask him to please give a cogent explanation from the DNA theory of macro- [and hyper-] evolution why every one of the following [25] items appeared fully developed and universal to every human, never skipping one social group we have ever encountered; but not one has been found to be universal in any animal species. The human species is the widest spread organism [no other species in the genus] on the face of the earth.

Please explain why these qualities of human life came into being full blown without much change in the last 25,000 years.

- 1) The cemetery gene—evidence of an awareness of life after death, every human demonstrates that he cares for dead loved ones, no animal cares for its dead this way.
- 2) The library gene—the need to pass on accumulated knowledge, assumes a long-term memory gene, consciousness of helping the next generation.
- 3) Language gene—all animals have communication, none have a language: vocabulary, grammar, innuendos, idioms, figures of speech, [dolphins and whales' grunts are summarily rejected]. Only humans talk.
- 4) Incest is a universally negative item—there is no moral code of any kind among animals. Every human society has a group moral code. Ahh, yes, there are aberrations.
- 5) Gene for consciousness of time as a commodity.
- 6) Art & beauty gene—hair styles, house styles, admiration for sunsets or skills [Jonathan Livingston Seagull excepted].
- 7) Architectural gene—buildings are adapted to seasons and materials available with sense of beauty [same as the art gene?].
- 8) Stay-with-mom-n-dad-gene—no animal species has maternal ties past 3 or 4 years. Humans have exceedingly strong ties well past the age of full physical & reproductive development which is about age 15—many offspring stay until ages 20-30+.
- 9) Tool gene—[ok, I'll give you otters and seagulls which use rocks, chimpanzees use sticks but no species passes the family toolbox on to its kids, and the theory of evolution has given chimps many millennia to produce those DNA adaptations]. No improvement in more than a dozen years.
- 10) Cooking gene—no animal makes fire or cooks, or refrigerates, or preserves food, or adds spices, or makes recipes to pass along.
- 11) Jails-for-legal-violations gene—[*eh*, yes, animals enact revenge for trespassing personal space as well as threats against their young—hardly juris prudence] no courts of law, no clearly demarcated concept of right & wrong nor sentences [ahh yes, banishment sometimes].
- 12) Mathematics gene—some people believe that math can answer enormous numbers of questions, but no animal ever uses math [Wow, did you see the horse "count" at the fair?]
- 13) Bartering gene-debatable. Money gene-absolutely not.
- 14) Monogamy [faithfulness] gene—is known in every society even though multiple partners is practiced as well. Rarely does monogamy occur in nature at the species level, never in a whole genus.
- 15) Intimacy in marriage. They mate and universally, never stick around and cuddle.
- 16) Teaching the little ones gene—[ahh, the baby bear would learn how to swat salmon out of the stream even if his mom were shot by hunter-foragers] Yes, the kids do watch mom, but catching your own food is an instinct.
- 17) There is no "Pay-attention-kid-this-is-important" gene.
- 18) No system of correcting-impolite-behavior gene—or reprimanding the young consistently.
- 19) No political gene—government, voting, representation, organized retaliation for marauding enemies, taxes, although there is cooperation, sharing, caring, and storing for winter [squirrels horde but don't share].

- 20) No medical gene—surgery, care for the sick [debatable, true], medicines [though they do know intrinsically, what not to eat], no bandages, splints, only a wait-and-see-whether-he-dies caution, no progress in caring for their sick in a million years, no shared knowledge of successful treatment of illness.
- 21) No crying gene—yes, a very few [perhaps mammals only, not leeches, not amphibians, reptiles, or fish] grieve over hurt, loss, and death—no obits, no funerals, no return to tombstones [don't bring up the myth of the elephant graveyard, yes, I've seen the pictures, too. Name the country, if you can, if you dare.]
- 22) Morality-is-positive gene—while some societies occasionally value some sorts of treachery, self-aggrandizement, and other generally negative traits, it is universally accepted that the criterion of human society promotes a positive moral view. Good is appreciated more than evil. Honesty, diligence, kindness and goodwill are valued over the negative. Animals? Nope.
- 23) Humor
- 24) Concept of God, prayer, group worship
- 25) Culture—ethnic foods, social dancing, tourism, high level division of labor, music or musical instruments, museums—nope, all unique to humanity the most different creature on the face of the earth.

It can be argued from ignorance that we don't have enough scientific evidence to ratify that every one of the above is totally absent from every specie, but although we have hundreds of thousands of research scientists alive today, many of whom are examining evolutionary advancements, none that I have found have stepped forward with any statement that he/she has passed from conjecture to affirmation that even one of the above human traits are characteristic of any single genus. No one is brave enough to stake his/her reputation, yet.

Intelligent design is a new term for many. It is embraced by non-religious scientists as well as Bible believers. It is not exactly creationism, because it is simply a theory that a **creation must have a creator**, although some atheists are willing to call it the "God factor" which affects organisms and is responsible outside the information of DNA. Similarly, the concomitant theory of "nuclear glue" might also be considered by physicists to be "divine."

Tell me if it isn't true that you have been applying a great number of these "traits and instincts" to mammals who are creatures which can display emotions and smile, rather than to roaches, amoeba, crustaceans, or even birds. And yet, these animals are theorized to have been around for a billion years or so and should have been quite capable through chance mutations, to produce a great number of the "advances" attributed to humans. Certainly one or two anyway.

Darwin had no concept of DNA. His primary contribution was to suggest "survival of the fittest" because of beneficial mutations. He didn't go much further than that. Many Bible believers will accept that precept at face value. After all, we concur that the Dodo bird wasn't one of the "the fittest."

<u>QUESTIONS FOR EVOLUTIONISTS WHICH ARE</u> <u>UNIVERSALLY NON-ADDRESSED</u>

DNA has no *[uniform]* response to these philosophical objections to their fundamental postulates.

Those who hold to evolution are absolutely stumped by the above characteristics. But they are willing to admit there is no need for animals developing any of these.

Man is not an animal. He came complete into this world with all 25 of the above "God-genes."